

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Status of Claims:

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8, 13 and 14 are currently being amended.

Claim 7 is currently being canceled.

Claims 15-19 are currently being added.

This amendment adds, amends and cancels claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claims remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1, 5, 6, 8 and 12-19 are now pending in this application.

Claim Rejections – Prior Art:

In the Office Action, claims 1, 5-8 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,718,173 to Somani et al.; claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somani et al.; and claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Somani et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,096 to Lautenschlager et al. These rejections are traversed with respect to the presently pending claims, for at least the reasons given below.

In its rejection of claim 7, whereby those features, along with other features, have been incorporated into each of the presently pending independent claims, the Office Action asserts that Somani discloses a system having a terminal connected to a mobile terminal device (MS 16) for controlling an operation of a monitoring device (HLR 226), whereby the HLR 226 stores and updates the position of the mobile terminal device (MS 16), which may be a cellular telephone. However, this assertion is incorrect.

Namely, when the mobile terminal device MS 16 of Somani moves from one location to another location, that information is provided to the Home Location Registry (HLR) 226, whereby the HLR is updated with that new location information. A user of a terminal of the

mobile terminal device MS 16 that has moved from one location to another location is not allowed to change any data stored within the HLR 226, in order to control a CDMA mobile communication system. Rather, the HLR 226 is updated based on movement of the mobile terminal device MS 16, which has nothing at all to do with a user of a terminal connected to the mobile terminal device MS 16 entering in any information.

Column 1, lines 11-15 and column 2, lines 1-22 of Somani, which are referred in the Office Action for providing a basis for teachings the features of original claim 1, merely describe a system by which location registers are updated based on movement of mobile terminal devices, which has nothing at all to do with a user of a terminal connected to a mobile terminal device be able to monitor and control a base station system by entering in data at the terminal to thereby control the base station system. For example, the mobile terminal MS 16 of Somani is not provided with the full information contents of the HLR or the VLR; rather, the mobile terminal MS 16 provides updated location information that is used to change information within the location register, whereby those updated contents within the location register are not made available to the user at the mobile terminal MS 16.

Furthermore, the use of user identification and password information to allow an authorized user to control and monitor a CDMA communication system from the user's terminal connected to a mobile terminal device, is not disclosed, taught or suggested by Somani.

Accordingly, since Somani does not teach or suggest the above-mentioned features recited in each of the presently pending independent claims, and since Lautenschlager et al. does not rectify the above-mentioned shortcomings of Somani, all of the presently pending claims are patentable over the cited art of record.

New Claims:

New claims 15-19 have been added to recite particular controlling features that a user can perform while at a terminal of a mobile terminal device, whereby such ways of controlling specific features of a CDMA communication system are not taught or suggested by any of the cited art of record.

Conclusion:

Since all of the issues raised in the Office Action have been addressed in this Amendment and Reply, Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and an early indication of allowance is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date August 8, 2005

By Phillip J. Articola

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Customer Number: 22428
Telephone: (202) 672-5407
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

David A. Blumenthal
Registration No. 26,257

Phillip J. Articola
Registration No. 38,819